THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE T. MEENA KUMARI
AND
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

W.P.No. 20854 of 2007

ORAL ORDER:
(Per T. Meena Kumari, J)

The Writ Petition is filed challenging the orders passed by the learned A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad in O.A.No. 9375 of 2001, dated 20.4.2007 and to set aside the same.

Brief facts of the Writ Petition are that:

Respondent herein is the applicant and petitioners herein are the respondents in O.A.No. 9375 of 2001 before the learned A.P. Administrative Tribunal. Before the tribunal, the respondent herein has filed O.A. seeking direction to the respondents to consider her case for regularization of her services as Bill Collector as per G.O. (P) No. 112, Finance and Planning Department, dated 23.7.1997. Petitioner was engaged as Part Time Bill Collector in Chiluvur Gram Panchayat with effect from 21.2.1985 on a consolidated pay of Rs. 900/- per month. The petitioner approached the tribunal number of times against the government seeking regularization of her services. Lastly, she filed O.A.No. 9375 of 2001, which was allowed by the A.P. Administrative Tribunal on 20.4.2007 granting the relief sought for by the applicant. Challenging the said order of the learned A.P. Administrative Tribunal, the present Writ Petition has been preferred by the unsuccessful respondents in the O.A.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

As can be seen from the order of the learned tribunal that the petitioner was engaged as Part Time Bill Collector in Chiluvur Gram Panchayat with effect from 21.2.1985 and thereafter the respondent filed R.P.No. 1392 of 1988 before the A.P. Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad

for regularization of services. The learned tribunal has disposed of the said R.P. by order dated 23.11.1994 directing the petitioners herein to verify whether the respondent herein satisfied the requirements laid down in G.O.Ms.No. 212, dated 22.4.1994 and G.Os. and issued appropriate orders in the matter. In compliance of that order, Government has considered and rejected the claim vide proceedings No. ROC.No. 6230/87-G5, dated 15.4.1995 on the ground that there is no vacant post and that the respondent herein has not complied with the conditions laid down under G.O.Ms.No. 212, dated 22.4.1994. Aggrieved by the said proceedings, the respondent herein has filed O.A.No. 3892 of 1995 seeking a declaration of theimpugned proceedings as bad and illegal. The learned tribunal by a common order dated 18.8.1999 in O.A.No.3892 of 1995 has been disposed of theO.A. declaring that the respondent herein is entitled for regularization of the service in terms of G.O.Ms.No. 212 and directed the petitioners herein to regularize the services of the respondent herein within two months from the date of receipt of the Order. Again the petitioners herein have questioned the said order of the learned tribunal by filing Writ Petition No. 24913 of 1999, which was allowed by this Court.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, we do not find any merits in the Writ Petition and accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

_____

T. MEENA KUMARI, J

_____

G. CHANDRAIAH, J

4.10.2007

CHV